REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner is requiring an election of species to one of the following:

Compounds of general formulae I, II, III or IV.

Applicants provisionally elect compounds of general formula IV, with traverse on the

grounds that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a

conclusion of patentable distinctiveness between the identified groups. Also, it has not been

shown that a burden exists in searching the claims of the four formulae.

Moreover, the MPEP at § 803 states as follows:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without a serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on its merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or

independent inventions."

Applicants respectfully submit that a search of all of the claims would not impose a serious

burden on the Office.

For your information, Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are readable on the elected species.

Accordingly, and for the reasons presented above, Applicants submit that the Office

has failed to meet the burden necessary in order to sustain the Election Requirement.

Withdrawal of the Election Requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in

condition for examination on the merits, and early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.

Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/09)

James H. Khebel

Registration No. 22,630